ML

1908-09

File no 211 - 1908

Slip - 1-2

G.R.S 11] 1908

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES

File No. 211-08

FILE HEADING

Sanitary Improvements Schemes of the Mysore City Municipal Trust Board.

From

The Sanitary Commissioner

No. 1845 Dated 9-11-08 Received 11-11-08

Diary No 3229	Enclos – Spare Copies. –	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

He states that G.O. No. D 3311-5/Mul 1180-07-58, 24-9-08 constitutes the Chief Engineer and not the Central Sanitary Board as the final adviser of Govt. in Sanitary projects and requests that the order may be so modified as to allow the matter to be sent to the Sanitary Com.r for ~~scrutiny from a Sany point of view after the project has been scrutinised~~ by the Chief Engineer from an Engineering point of view.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Sany Commr considers it very necessary to scrutinise the engineering details in a project lest they should render the project insanitary.

Submitted for orders with references.

NOTE.—First sheet only.

21/12.

GP'B —30,000—20-3-05

G.R.S 11] 1908

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municpl)

NOTES

File No 211-08

FILE HEADING

Sanitary Improvements Schemes of the Mysore City Impt Trust Board.

From

The Sanitary Commissioner

No 1845 Dated 9/11/08 Received 11-11-08

Diary No 3229 | Enclos – Spare Copies – | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

S. States that G.O. No 3311-5/ml 440-07-5 & 24-9-08 constitutes the Chief Engineer and not the Central Sanitary Board as the final adviser of Govt in sanitary projects and requests that the order may be so modified as to allow the matter to be sent to the Sanitary Com^r scrutiny from a Sany point of view after the project has been scrutinised by the Chief Engineer from a ~~NOTES AND ORDERS~~

The Sany Comm^r considers it very necessary to scrutinise the engineering details in a project lest they should render the project unsanitary

Submitted for orders with references

Notes—First sheet

21/12

G1 B 40,000-20 3 06

Serial No

submit a project to that Board again

7 In case of "revision" on any lines agreed to by the Sanitary Board, such projects will necessarily be sent back to the Board after such revision for their approval, as always has been done so far as I know As I said before, (in respect to) projects which having been already approved of by the Sanitary Board, whether original or revised, it would be absolutely a waste of time to send it to them again So far as engineering details are concerned, it would be perfectly useless to send the project back for them to discuss or criticize such details as the Chief Engineer might consider necessary to alter, as he is after all the only expert on the Board capable of judging the necessity or advisability of such modifications as he considers necessary in the carrying out of works Even many of the greatest experts in Sanitary Engineering in the world have differences of opinion in many details In regard to the remark of the Sanitary Commissioner that he could refer to many projects passed by the Chief Engineer which are insanitary owing to faulty engineering details (presumably details not already passed by the Sanitary Board themselves, and which the Chief Engineer altered or modified without consent) I should be obliged if the Sanitary Commissioner would send me a list of these, - -bably I would be able to give some explanation in regard to them

M. K. W. Hutchens
Chief Engineer &

Bangalore
11-1-1909

[R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 211 of 1908

Serial No	
28-12-08	Forwarded unofficially to see Chief Engineer for favour of opinion.
	By order H Meyler Rao 26-12-08 For Secy
31/12/1908	The contention of the Sanitary Commissioner appears to be that Engineers are not apparently experts in sanitation, or that they are at any rate indifferent to points of sanitation which sanitarians would consider of vital importance. I beg to differ entirely from the Sanitary Commissioner's opinion; for every "Sanitary Engineer" is a sanitarian, and is a greater expert in matters connected with the requirements of sanitation than so called Sanitarians without any training or experience in Sanitary Engineering
	I quite agree, however, that all differences of opinion between the Chief Engineer and the Sanitary Board should , and can be settled by unofficial notes or personal discussion
	I cannot agree that after all points in respect of a project have once been settled and agreed to by the Sanitary Board, it is necessary to refer to them any further. The Chief Engineer is responsible for the carrying out of the project in accordance with the designs which have been placed before the Board, and approved of after their scrutiny and discussion, and it would be a mere waste of time to submit

Note—Contn sheet.

CPR—20,000—17.8.07

C. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 211 of 1908

Serial No.

Forwarded unofficially to the Chief Engineer for favour of opinion.

By order
K Muthanna
26-12-08
For Secy.

The contention of the Sanitary Commissioner appears to be that Engineers are not apparently experts in sanitation, or that they are at any rate indifferent to points of sanitation which sanitarians would consider of vital importance. I beg to differ entirely from the Sanitary Commissioner's opinion, for every "Sanitary Engineer" is a sanitarian, and is a greater expert in matters connected with the requirements of sanitation than so called Sanitarians without any training or experience in Sanitary Engineering

I quite agree, however, that all differences of opinion between the Chief Engineer and the Sanitary Board should, and can be settled by unofficial notes or personal discussion

I cannot agree that after all points in respect of a project have once been settled and agreed to by the Sanitary Board, it is necessary to refer to them any further. The Chief Engineer is responsible for the carrying out of the project in accordance with the designs which have been placed before the Board, and approved of after their scrutiny and discussion, and it would be a mere waste of time to submit

Note—Contn. sheet.

Serial No

submit a project to that Board again.

9 In case of "revision" on any lines agreed to by the Sanitary Board, such projects will necessarily be sent back to the Board after such revision for their approval, as always has been done so far as I know. As I said before, (except C) projects which having been already approved of by the Sanitary Board, whether original or revised, it would be absolutely a waste of time to send it to them again So far as engineering details are concerned, it would be perfectly useless to send the project back for them to discuss or criticize such details as the Chief Engineer might consider necessary to alter, as he is after all the only expert on the Board capable of judging the necessity or advisability of such modifications as he considers necessary in the carrying out of works Even many of the greatest experts in Sanitary Engineering in the world have differences of opinion in many details In regard to the remark of the Sanitary Commissioner that he could refer to many projects passed by the Chief Engineer which are insanitary owing to faulty engineering details (presumably details not already passed by the Sanitary Board themselves, and which the Chief Engineer altered or modified without their consent) I should be obliged if the Sanitary Com-missioner would send me a list of these, when pro-bably I would be able to give some explanation in regard to them

M. K. Wheeler

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Bangalore
11-1-1909

IV Sanit.

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-5-08

G R.S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

DWG Jul File. No 211 of 1908

Serial No 8

Submitted with the Chief Engineer's opinion

Both the Chief Engineer
12/1/09 and the Sanitary Comr are
members of the Central Sani
tary Board - If the details of
a project are first discussed
by these officers and then it
is placed before the Central
Sanitary Board, the resolution
of the Board may come up to
Govt direct and need not under-
go any further scrutiny by
the San: Comr ~~and~~ or the Chief
Engineer - The Chief Engineer
may scrutinize and check the
project in its engineering features
before it is placed before the
Board - This procedure will
save all delay and will, it is
believed, be satisfactory to
all concerned

9430/15 1 1909

I (1st Cr) 15/1/09 JWM

[I am inclined to agree with the
Chief Engineer - But] this is a
matter which should form the Second
Councillor's responsibility & the Secretary
also JWM 16/1/09

sheet

GPB—50 000—18 5 08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 211 of 1908

Serial No.

Submitted
2nd Cr) 22-1-09

In practice, the procedure advocated by the Sanitary Commr would entail great delay and important projects would be liable to be hung up for an unconscionable time. I am also inclined to agree with the views of the Chief Engineer

25/1/09

Secy, W. & N.
11660 25.1.09

28/1/09

Put up draft of Govt to 2nd Cr

Draft put up

Draft submitted for approval
2nd Cr) 2 3 09

approved

Issue 2/3/09

3.3.09

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—12-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

nil File No. 24 of 1908

Serial No.	
1	Govt. have seen G.I.P. Ry. observations in connection with the representation made by the Tata Co. would entail great delay and the undertaking projects would be hung up for an unreasonable length of time and that accordingly no modification of this Govt. order can be called for.

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—30.000—12-5-08

Department of Public Health in Mysore. D 3229

No. 1845 Enc. OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, Dated the 9-12-1908

From Lt.Col.John Smyth,M.D.,I.M.S.,
Sanitary Commissioner to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE

To K.S.Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq, B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE

Sir,

I have the honor to invite the attention of His Highness's Government to Govt Order No. 1378-92 San. 03-06-8 of 10th August 1907 last sentence of para 10, wherein the Sanitary Commissioner is directed to formulate duties for the Central & District Sanitary Boards, and to say that Govt. Order No. 3311-5 Ml 446-075 of the 24th September 1908 has a very important bearing on the matter therein referred to I refer particularly to sub-heads ii & iii of Para 2 of the said order My point is this the order constitutes the Chief Engineer and not the Central Sanitary Board as the final adviser of Government in Sanitary Projects. According to the order, after a project has been approved of by the Central Sanitary Board, it goes to the Chief Engineer for revision, if necessary, of engineering details & then direct to Govt, the Central Sany. Board sees it no more, and consequently knows nothing of the Engineering alterations which the Chief Engineer may make but which may render the project an insanitary one It is just the Engineering details that the Central Sanitary Board must scrutinise if the Board is to be of ~~the highest~~ use as an advisory agency to Govt. How many projects could I not refer to passed by the Chief

Engineer

Department of Public Health in Mysore

190 —o

From

The Sanitary Comissioner,
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of H' H the
Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev Depts
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

Enclosure No

Engineer, which are insanitary owing to details which to an Engineer seemed as nothing, but to a sanitarian are absolutely vital' To allow an Engineer to have the last word in a sanitary project is to my mind at least an inconsistancy. An Engineer is not a sanitarian, any more than a sanitarian is an Engineer, but their professions dovetail, the one into the other all along the line, and it is only by conference one with the other that a satisfactory result can be arrived at The Chief Engineer suggests indeed that there may be difference of opinion between him and the Sanitary Board, dif-ference which can be settled by unofficial notes I agree to the settlement of such differences in this way, but when they are settled, the project should be finally placed before the Central Sanitary Board, and on approval should be sent to Government for sanction, direct or through the Chief Engineer- it matters nothing to us sanitarians- the only thing that matters is that after final approval by the Centl. Sany. Board, no alteration whatever shall be made till the matter is placed before Government for such orders as they shall be pleased to make My proposal therefore would be that after the Central Sany. Board has accorded general approval to a project they should refer it to the Chief Engineer for scrutiny from an Engineering point of view inviting his attention to any, any matter which they may deem worthy of special notice After revision by the Chief Engineer the scheme should go to the Sanitary Commissioner, and any points which, from a sanitary point of view required further attention could be settled between the Sany. Commr. and the Chief Engineer by unofficial notes If they finally agreed which would presumably be the case, the revised --- scheme should once more be scrutinised by the Central Sany. Board, who would take such steps regarding it as they might think necessary, but, it may be taken for granted they would in 99 cases out of 100 approve of the settlement arrived at between

3

between the Sanitary Commissioner and the Chief Engineer But of course farther reference might have to be made either to the Sanitary or Engineering Departments till finally the Board could in all conscience recommend the project to Go- Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,
Lt. Col., I.M.S
Sanitary Commissioner to Govt.

2 dr 8 4

[R.S. 28]

File No. 211-08

Serial No 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3229

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Genl)

, the 190

Date of issue - 2-3-09

Signed by

READ— Letter No 1845 & 2-11-08 from the Secy Comm. to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore stating ~~circulating~~ that Govt. Resn No 331-57/Genl 4 40-07-5 & 29-08 laying down the procedure to be adopted in submitting original and revised proposals by the Board of Trustees for the Improvements Trust of Mysore, makes the Chief Engr. of the Central Secy. Board as the final adviser of Govt. in Secy. matters, in so much as according to the order aforesaid

over

SUBJECT

2 — dr 3 4

G R.S. 28]

File No. 211-08

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3229

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Genl)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 6-3-09

Signed by Genl M-

READ— Letter No 1845 B 2-11-08 from the Secy. Commr to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore stating in respect that Govt. Proc. No 331-87/Rul 4140-07-5 B 29-09 laying down the procedure to be adopted in submitting original and revised proposals by the Board of Trustees for the Improvement of the City of Mysore, makes the Chief Engr. of the Central Secy. Board as the final adviser of Govt. in Secy. Matters, in so much as according to the order aforesaid

over

SUBJECT

after its approval by the Central
Sanry. Bd has to go to the Ch: Engr for revision,
if necessary of engineering details
and then sent up to Govt.; that the
Central Sanry Board by engineers
of the engineering alterations made
by the Ch: Engr, the project might
be rendered insanitary etc; and
requesting that the order be modified
so that a scheme after its general
approval by the Central Sanry. Bd might
be modified so that a scheme after
its general appl by the Central Sanry.
Board might be referred exceptionally
to the Ch: Engr for revision
and to the Sanry. Commr and returned
resubmitted to the Central Sanry
Board before its submission
to Govt.

without reference to the San: Board may be such as to render

(2) Chief Engineer's note 8/11-09
on the same subject

Order thereon. 87/6-6 - 98309.
ml 21-08.

Govt. observe that in practice, the
procedure advocated by the Sanry Commr
would entail great delay and that
important projects would be liable to
be

G.R.S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation Sheet draft form*)

be hung up for an ~~unreasonable time~~ unreasonable length of time.

They are therefore of opinion that no modification of the G.O. referred to above is called for for the present.

Foxley.

To

The Secy Commr in Ch. Engr

MGC PB—30 000—5 4 05